Kinetic-growth self-avoiding walks on small-world networks

C.P. Herrero^a

Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

Received 13 December 2006 Published online 28 March 2007 – © EDP Sciences, Società Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract. Kinetically-grown self-avoiding walks have been studied on Watts-Strogatz small-world networks, rewired from a two-dimensional square lattice. The maximum length L of this kind of walks is limited in regular lattices by an attrition effect, which gives finite values for its mean value $\langle L \rangle$. For random networks, this mean attrition length $\langle L \rangle$ scales as a power of the network size, and diverges in the thermodynamic limit (system size $N \to \infty$). For small-world networks, we find a behavior that interpolates between those corresponding to regular lattices and random networks, for rewiring probability p ranging from 0 to 1. For p < 1, the mean self-intersection and attrition length of kinetically-grown walks are finite. For p = 1, $\langle L \rangle$ grows with system size as $N^{1/2}$, diverging in the thermodynamic limit. In this limit and close to p = 1, the mean attrition length diverges as $(1-p)^{-4}$. Results of approximate probabilistic calculations agree well with those derived from numerical simulations.

PACS. 89.75.Fb Structures and organization in complex systems – 89.75.Hc Networks and genealogical trees – 87.23.Ge Dynamics of social systems – 05.40.Fb Random walks and Levy flights

1 Introduction

In the last few years, researchers have been accumulating evidence that several kinds of real-life complex systems can be described in terms of networks or graphs, where nodes represent typical system units and edges represent interactions between connected pairs of units. This topological description has been applied to study both natural and man-made systems, and is currently employed to describe various types of processes taking place on real systems (social, biological, economic, technological) [1–5]. Two highlights of these developments were the Watts-Strogatz small-world model [6] and the so-called scale-free networks [7], which incorporate several basic ingredients of real systems.

In particular, Watts-Strogatz small-world networks are well suited to study properties of systems with underlying topological structure ranging from regular lattices to random graphs [8,9], by changing a single parameter [10]. These networks are based on a regular lattice, in which a fraction p of the links between nearest-neighbor sites are randomly replaced by new random connections, thus creating long-range "shortcuts" and causing an important decrease in the average distance between nodes [2,9]. In small-world networks one finds at the same time a local neighborhood (as for regular lattices) and some global properties of random graphs [8]. In particular, the "distance" between any two elements is small as compared with the system size, and the propagation of information (or signal, disease, damage, ...) takes place much faster than in regular lattices [6,11–17]. This short global length scale affects strongly the behavior of statistical physical problems on small-world networks, such as site and bond percolation [18,19], as well as the Ising model [20–22].

Social networks form the substrate where several dynamical processes take place. These networks have the property of being searchable, i.e. people (nodes) can direct messages through their acquaintances to reach distant specific targets in only a few steps [23–25]. Although some dynamical processes on complex networks have been studied by using stochastic dynamics and random walks [26-28], it is known that real navigation and exploration processes are usually neither purely random nor totally deterministic [4,29,30]. In this context, the generic properties of deterministic navigation [31] and directed self-avoiding walks [29] in complex networks have been analyzed in the last years. Self-avoiding walks (SAWs) may be more effective than unrestricted random walks in exploring a network, as they cannot return to sites already visited. This property has been used to define local search strategies in scale-free networks [30]. Nevertheless, the self-avoiding property causes attrition of the paths, since a large fraction of paths generated in a stochastic way have to be abandoned because they overlap themselves. This can be an important limitation for exploring networks with this kind of walks.

^a e-mail: ch@icmm.csic.es

SAWs have been employed for many years for modeling structural and dynamical properties of macromolecules [32,33], as well as for studying critical phenomena in lattice models [34,35]. They are also useful to characterize complex crystal structures [36] and networks in general [37]. In particular, the asymptotic properties of SAWs on small-world networks were studied in connection with the so-called *connective constant* or long-distance effective connectivity [38]. Recently, kinetic-growth selfavoiding walks on uncorrelated complex networks were considered, with particular emphasis upon the influence of attrition on the maximum length of the paths [39, 40]. It was found that the average length scales as a power of the system size N, with an exponent that depends on the characteristics of the considered networks. For regular lattices, however, the average maximum length of kinetic growth SAWs is finite [41, 42], contrary to uncorrelated networks, for which it diverges in the thermodynamic limit $(N \to \infty)$. Thus, for small-world networks there will appear a crossover when changing the rewiring probability p, from a regime with confined paths (finite average length typical of regular lattices, p = 0 to one with diverging length (characteristic of random networks, $p \rightarrow 1$).

Here we study long-range properties of kineticallygrown walks on small-world networks, and consider the "attrition problem". The number of surviving walks to a given length n is obtained by approximate analytical procedures, and the results are compared with those obtained from numerical simulations. We find that the average maximum length increases as the rewiring probability p is raised, and eventually diverges in the thermodynamic limit for $p \to 1$, as expected for random networks. We note that the term *length* is employed throughout this paper indicate the (dimensionless) number of steps of a walk, as usually done in the literature on networks [3], and does not correspond to a distance on the underlying regular lattice.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some definitions and concepts related to SAWs, along with details on our numerical method. In Section 3 we analyze the length at which non-reversal random walks intersect themselves on small-world networks (self-intersection length), and in Section 4 we calculate the average attrition length of kinetic growth SAWs, at which they cannot continue without violating the self-avoidance condition. The paper closes with the conclusions in Section 5. In three appendices we give details of the probabilistic calculations.

2 Definitions and models

2.1 Small-world model

The networks studied here were generated from a twodimensional square lattice (connectivity z = 4). Smallworld networks were built up according to the model of Watts and Strogatz [6,10]. We consider in turn each of the bonds in the starting lattice and replace it with a given probability p by a new bond. This means that one end of the bond is changed to a new node chosen at random in the whole network. We impose the conditions: (i) no two nodes can have more than one bond connecting them, and (ii) no node can be connected by a link to itself. This method keeps constant the total number of links in the rewired networks, and the average connectivity (or degree) is $\langle k \rangle = z$. The total number of rewired links is zpN/2 on average. In the rewiring process we avoided sites with zero and one links, and thus each site has at least two neighbors. Note that nodes with k = 1 behave as culs-desac for self-avoiding walks, i.e., a walk arriving at a node with connectivity k = 1 cannot continue, even though it has not yet intersected itself.

To study the asymptotic behavior of kinetic growth SAWs as $N \to \infty$, and analyze the convergence of their properties with system size, we simulated networks of several sizes, including up to 3500×3500 sites. Periodic boundary conditions were assumed.

For small-world networks, the probability distribution of connectivities, $P_{sw}(k)$, is short-tailed with an exponential decrease for large k. Analytical expressions for this probability distribution have been given elsewhere [20, 43], and will not be repeated here. We will only give some details necessary for the discussion below. To this end, we distinguish now between the ends of links that remain on their original sites (as in the regular lattice), and those changed in the rewiring process. The number of links in a network with mean connectivity z amounts to zN/2. Since each link connecting two sites in the starting regular lattice is rewired with probability p, the average number of changes per site is zp/2. This means that each connection of a site is removed in the rewiring process with probability p/2. Then, the number of links s generated in the rewiring process and arriving at a node follows, in the limit of large N, a Poisson distribution for $s \ge 0$:

$$P_r(s) = \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{zp}{2}\right)^s e^{-zp/2}.$$
 (1)

We note that other ways of generating small-world networks from regular lattices have appeared in the literature. In particular, Newman et al. [19,44] instead of rewiring each bond with probability p, added shortcuts between pairs of sites chosen uniformly at random, without removing any bonds from the starting lattice. This procedure turns out to be more convenient for analytical calculations, but does not keep constant the mean degree $\langle k \rangle$, which in this case increases with p.

2.2 Self-avoiding walks

A self-avoiding walk is defined as a walk along the bonds of a given network which can never intersect itself. The walk is restricted to moving to a nearest-neighbor site during each step, and the self-avoiding condition constrains the walk to occupy only sites which have not been previously visited in the same walk. The simplest procedure to obtain SAWs consists in generating ordinary random walks and stop when they arrive at a node already visited. In regular lattices, there appears a problem with this sampling algorithm, caused by the rapid attrition for long walks, because the probability of an n-step walk being self-avoiding decays exponentially for large n [45]. Due to this important limitation, more complex methods based on Monte Carlo sampling have been used to generate SAWs with the correct weight, and to obtain ensemble averages of various quantities [45]. In general, for networks including nodes with different degrees (contrary to usual regular lattices), sampling by employing simple random walks introduces a bias in the weight of different SAWs.

For regular lattices, the number u_n of SAWs starting from a site has an asymptotic dependence for large n [35,46]:

$$u_n \sim n^{\gamma - 1} \mu^n, \tag{2}$$

where γ is a critical exponent which depends on the lattice dimension, and μ is the *connective constant* or effective connectivity of the considered lattice [46,47]. In general, for a lattice with connectivity z, one has $\mu \leq z - 1$. This parameter μ can be obtained from equation (2) as the limit $\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n/u_{n-1}$. The connective constant depends upon the particular topology of each lattice, and is known with high accuracy for two- and three-dimensional lattices [45,48]. Self-avoiding walks on small-world networks have been studied in recent years [38]. In particular, for networks generated from the square lattice, the connective constant μ was found to rise from $\mu = 2.64$ for rewiring probability p = 0 (regular lattice) to $\mu = 3.70$ for p = 1.

To analyze dynamical processes on networks, it can be more convenient to use kinetically grown SAWs. These walks are well-suited to study search or navigation processes on networks, where they are assumed to grow step by step in a temporal sequence. Their asymptotic behavior can give us also information about the long-range properties of complex networks, in particular on the presence of loops. In connection with this, an interesting property of kinetically grown SAWs is the number of steps necessary to intersect themselves. Moreover, we are interested in the possibility of avoiding visited nodes and maximize the length of the walks. For these reasons, we will consider in the following two kinds of growing walks. The first kind will be non-reversal self-avoiding walks [45]. In these walks one randomly chooses the next step among the neighboring nodes, excluding the previous one. If one chooses a node already visited, then the walk stops (see Fig. 1). These walks will allow us to study the *self-intersection* length (see Sect. 3). The second type of walks considered here are kinetic growth walks [49], in which one randomly selects the next step among the unvisited adjacent sites and stops growing when none are available. These walks have been studied earlier to describe the irreversible growth of linear polymers [49], and will allow us to consider the *attrition length* for a walk on a given network (see Sect. 4). We note that kinetic growth walks are less sensitive to attrition than non-reversal SAWs, since in the former the walker always escapes whenever a way exists.

For each set of parameters (p, N), we considered 10 different network realizations, and for a given network we selected at random the starting nodes for the SAWs. For a given parameter set, the total number of generated

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram showing a non-reversal random walk of length n = 5 on a network. Open and black circles represent unvisited and visited nodes, respectively. The starting node is indicated as 0. After arriving at site 5, the non-reversal condition allows to choose for the next (sixth) step one of three possible nodes (labeled as 1, 6, and 7). For a non-reversal SAW, one chooses among these three nodes. If 1 is selected, then the walk stops. For a kinetic growth walk, one chooses 6 or 7, each with 50% probability.

SAWs amounted to 10^6 for each type of walks. For network size $N > 10^5$, we found that fluctuations in the mean self-intersection and attrition length obtained for different network realizations with the same p and N, were less than 2%. For $N \ge 10^6$, these fluctuations were on the order of 1% for the mean attrition length, and less than 1% for the mean self-intersection length.

3 Self-intersection length

To study the probability of a walk intersecting itself, we consider non-reversal walks that stop when they reach a node already visited in the same walk. The number of steps of a given walk before intersecting itself will be called *self-intersection length* and will be denoted l.

Let us consider M_0 non-reversal walks starting from nodes taken at random, and call M(n) the number of walks surviving after n steps (i.e., those which did not arrive yet at any node visited earlier). In regular lattices, it is known that the ratio $M(n)/M_0$ decreases with the number of steps n as $n^{\gamma-1}e^{-\lambda_0 n}$, where γ is the same exponent as in equation (2), and $\lambda_0 = \log[(z-1)/\mu]$. Hence, the surviving probability basically decays for large n as $\exp(-\lambda_0 n)$, with $\lambda_0 = 0.129$ for the two-dimensional square lattice [45].

We now turn to the results of our simulations for smallworld networks. In Figure 2 we show the fraction of remaining walks, $M(n)/M_0$, as a function of n for networks with several sizes and rewiring probability p = 0.3. The network size increases from left to right, and one clearly observes a finite-size effect on the surviving probability of the walks. For increasing network size, the results converge to an exponential decrease of the ratio $M(n)/M_0$. In fact, for the maximum size presented in Figure 2 (2000 × 2000 nodes), we find $M(n)/M_0 = \exp(-\lambda n)$, with $\lambda = 0.014$.



Fig. 2. Fraction of non-reversal SAWs that survive after n steps, without intersecting themselves. Results are plotted for small-world networks with rewiring probability p = 0.3 and different sizes, as derived from numerical simulations. From left to right: L = 100, 200, 300, 500, and 2000.

For other p values, one finds similar decays of the number of surviving walks, with the coefficient λ decreasing as p rises.

Let us call t_n the conditional probability of a walk stopping at step n + 1, assuming that it actually arrived at step n. The exponential decay observed for $M(n)/M_0$ is compatible with a constant t_n , independent of n (i.e., $t_n = t$), as is expected at least for the asymptotic regime at large n. In fact, assuming a constant t_n , one has

$$M(n) - M(n+1) = tM(n),$$
(3)

which can be solved by iteration with the initial condition $M(0) = M_0$, giving

$$M(n) = M_0 (1 - t)^n.$$
(4)

Comparing this result with the exponential decay of $M(n)/M_0$ found above, we can identify: $\lambda = -\log(1-t)$.

The mean self-intersection length $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ in small-world networks is plotted in Figure 3 as a function of the system size N for several values of p. For a given N, $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ increases as p is raised, as could be expected. For a given rewiring probability p, $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ rises with the system size, and eventually saturates to a finite value for large N. This saturation appears at larger system sizes as p is increased. Finally, for p = 1 we find a behavior similar to that expected for random networks, for which $\langle l \rangle_{rn}$ increases as a power of N [40]:

$$\langle l \rangle_{rn}^2 \approx \frac{\pi N}{2w},$$
 (5)

where w is a network-dependent parameter, $w = (\langle k^2 \rangle - 2\langle k \rangle)/\langle k \rangle^2$. The dashed line in Figure 3 corresponds to equation (5) for a random network with minimum degree $k_0 = 2$ and $\langle k \rangle = 4$, which gives $\langle l \rangle_{rn} = 1.59 N^{1/2}$ [40]. Thus, the results of our simulations for small-world networks with p = 1 agree with those expected for random



Fig. 3. Average self-intersection length $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ as a function of system size N for small-world networks with several rewiring probabilities p. Symbols display results of numerical simulations: open squares, p = 0 (regular lattice); circles, p = 0.2; triangles, p = 0.4; diamonds, p = 0.6; filled squares, p = 1. Error bars are less than the symbol size. Dotted lines are guides to the eye. The dashed line shows an analytical prediction for random networks, given by equation (5).

networks, for which $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ diverges with the system size as $N^{1/2}$.

The mean self-intersection length in the thermodynamic limit is presented in Figure 4. Open symbols represent data derived by extrapolation of the results of our finite-network simulations for $N \to \infty$. (In practice, we calculated the limit of $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ as $1/N \to 0$.) This procedure was found to be reliable for $p \leq 0.7$, since higher p requires networks larger than those considered here. In the remainder of this section, we present two analytical approximations for $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$, valid for the regions close to p = 0and p = 1. These approximations correspond to the lines shown in Figure 4.

To derive an approximate expression for the mean selfintersection length for finite (small) p, we consider nonreversal SAWs on the regular lattice, and calculate the corrections introduced by the rewired links in small-world networks. An important parameter in this calculation is the conditional probability q of following a rewired link in step n + 1, assuming that in fact the walk reached step n. To first order in p, this probability is given by (see Appendix A):

$$q = \frac{p}{2} \left(1 + \frac{4}{z - 1} \right),\tag{6}$$

where the term z - 1 refers to the number of available connections for a given step n of a non-reversal walk on the regular lattice. For the derivation presented in Appendix A, we assume: (1) the walks include zero or one rewired links, which is compatible with our order-p approximation; and (2) a rewired link takes the walker far away from the starting node, which is valid in average for large system size.



Fig. 4. Mean self-intersection length $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ as a function of the rewiring probability p in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$. Symbols represent data extrapolated from results of numerical simulations for finite networks (see text). Error bars are less than the symbol size. Lines indicate results of analytical calculations for $p \ll 1$ (dotted line) and for p near 1 (dashed line).

With these assumptions, we obtain for small p equation (A.5), i.e.

$$\langle l \rangle_{sw} \approx \langle l \rangle_{2D} + \frac{q}{2} \left[\langle l \rangle_{2D} + 2 \langle l \rangle_{2D}^2 - \langle l^2 \rangle_{2D} \right],$$
(7)

where the subscript 2D indicates that the average values are taken for walks on the square lattice. Thus, the mean self-intersection length of networks with $p \ll 1$ is expressed in terms of the rewiring probability p (related with q by Eq. (6)) and known results of the regular lattice. The mean length $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ given by equation (7) is plotted in Figure 4 as a dotted line.

We now consider the average self-intersection length for rewiring probability p near 1. As shown above, at p = 1we find for small-world networks the behavior typical of random networks, with $\langle l \rangle_{rn}$ increasing as $N^{1/2}$, and eventually diverging in the thermodynamic limit. For smallworld networks with p < 1, $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ has a finite limit for $N \to \infty$, as shown in Figure 3, and its value is determined by correlations present in the networks. Even though these correlations are small for p close to 1, they still control the long-range behavior of SAWs. In fact, in the limit $p \to 1$ and for large enough N, the factor limiting the length of the walks is the residual presence of loops of size four in the networks, remaining from the starting regular lattice. Thus, we assume that the walks finish after circulating along the links of four-node loops (see Fig. 5a), where no one of the connections forming them was rewired. Taking into account that in the square lattice, the number of loops of size 4 equals the number of nodes N, then the average number N_S of remaining four-node loops is

$$N_S = N(1-p)^4.$$
 (8)

Note that in the rewiring process new loops of size 4 (and also of size 3) can appear, but for large N, their number



Fig. 5. Schematic representation of SAWs along four-node loops in small-world networks. (a) A non-reversal walk arriving at site 1 and following through sites 2, 3, and 4. The walk stops if it tries to return to site 1 (dashed arrow). (b) A kinetic-growth walk arriving at a four-node loop including a site with k = 2 (labeled as 4). The walk stops if it follows the sequence of nodes 1, 2, 3, 4, since at node 4 it cannot continue.

is much less than N_S . In fact, the average number of fournode loops in random networks is basically independent of $N: N_4 \approx z(z-1)^3/2$, and thus for any p < 1, $N_S \gg N_4$ for large enough N.

With these assumptions, we can calculate the conditional probability t of a non-reversal SAW stopping at step n + 1, assuming that it arrived at step n. This is done in Appendix B. The probability t turns out to be independent of n for large system size, and then for p near 1, the probability distribution Q(l) for the self-intersection length l can be approximated as

$$Q(l) = t(1-t)^{l},$$
(9)

which gives the probability for a walk proceeding until step l, and being stopped at step l + 1.

From the distribution Q(l), one finds an average selfintersection length $\langle l \rangle_{sw} = (1-t)/t$. For p close to 1, one has $t \ll 1$, so that, using the expression for t derived in Appendix B, we find

$$\langle l \rangle_{sw} \approx \frac{1}{t} = \frac{81}{4} (1-p)^{-4}.$$
 (10)

This result takes only into account the self-intersection at loops of size 4, which gives a dependence of t in the form $(1-p)^4$. Additional higher-order terms of the form $(1-p)^m$ can be obtained by considering loops of size m in the analyzed networks (m = 6, 8, ...), but their contribution will be negligible close to p = 1. The analytical dependence of $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ given by equation (10) is shown in Figure 4 by a dashed line. It shows good agreement with results of the numerical simulations for p > 0.5, and predicts a divergence of $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ for $p \to 1$, in line with the behavior known for random networks. On the other side, for any p < 1 our calculations predict a finite value for $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$.

It is worth commenting that using the same approximations employed above, one finds that the standard deviation of the self-intersection length of non-reversal SAWs is $\sigma_l \approx 1/t$, or $\sigma_l \approx \langle l \rangle_{sw}$. This is consistent with the exponential behavior of the surviving probability of these walks, as discussed above.



Fig. 6. Mean attrition length $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ as a function of system size N for small-world networks with several rewiring probabilities p. Symbols represent results of numerical simulations: circles, p = 0.2; triangles, p = 0.4; diamonds, p = 0.6; filled squares, p = 1. Error bars are smaller than the symbol size. Dotted lines are guides to the eye. The dashed line is an approximate analytical prediction for random networks, given in Ref. [40] (see text for details).

4 Attrition length

In this section we consider random SAWs, that grow on the network until they arrive at a node (called *blocking node* in the sequel), where they cannot continue because all adjacent nodes have been already visited and are not available for the walk. These are kinetic growth walks, as introduced by Majid et al. [49], and defined above in Section 2. The number of steps of a given walk until being blocked will be called *attrition length*, and will be denoted L.

In Figure 6 we show the mean attrition length $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ as a function of the system size N for several values of the rewiring probability p. Symbols represent results of numerical simulations and dotted lines are guides to the eye. For a given N, $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ increases as p rises, similarly to the mean self-intersection length (see Fig. 3). For a given rewiring probability p < 1, $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ rises with the system size, and saturates to a finite value for large N. For p = 1, however, we obtain an increase of $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ as a power of N in the whole range of network sizes studied here (up to $N \approx 10^7$ sites). In fact, we find $\langle L \rangle_{sw} \sim N^{\alpha}$, with an exponent $\alpha = 0.51 \pm 0.01$. The dashed line in Figure 6 represents an analytical approximation for the mean attrition length in random networks (as given by Eqs. (10) and (24)in Ref. [40]). According to this calculation, the mean attrition length in uncorrelated networks with short-tailed degree distribution scales as $\langle L \rangle_{rn} \sim N^{1-1/k_0}$, where k_0 is the minimum degree in the network. For the networks considered here, $k_0 = 2$, and one should expect $\langle L \rangle_{sw} \sim N^{1/2}$, in line with the results of our simulations shown in Figure 6 (filled squares).

We note that the small-world networks with p = 1studied here are not totally uncorrelated, because the re-



Fig. 7. Mean attrition length $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ as a function of the rewiring probability p in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$. Symbols represent data extrapolated from the results of simulations for finite networks (see text). Error bars are less than the symbol size. Lines show results of analytical calculations in the small-p limit (dotted line) and for p close to 1 (dashed line).

striction $k_0 = 2$ imposes that some correlations coming from the starting regular lattice are still present in the rewired networks. These correlations seem to be unimportant for defining the main trends of the long-range behavior of kinetic-growth walks.

Shown in Figure 7 is the mean attrition length in the thermodynamic limit, as derived from extrapolation of the results of our simulations for kinetic-growth SAWs on finite rewired networks (open symbols). In fact, these extrapolated values were obtained as the limit of $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ for $1/N \to 0$. For the system sizes considered here, it is hard to obtain a definite value for the thermodynamic limit for rewiring probabilities p higher than 0.6, for which larger networks would be necessary. Lines in Figure 7 indicate results of analytical approximations presented below.

To calculate the mean attrition length of kineticgrowth walks on small-world networks with p close to zero, we employ a method similar to that used for the self-intersection length in Section 3. In fact, one can follow exactly the same steps as in Appendix A, but putting only the connective constant μ instead of z - 1 in equations (A.1) and (A.2). In the non-reversal SAWs employed to calculate the self-intersection length, one can choose among z - 1 sites at a given step n on the regular lattice. Instead of this, for kinetic-growth walks used to obtain the attrition length, one has in average μ sites available for step n (assuming $n \gg 1$). For the square lattice employed here to obtain the small-world networks, we have z-1 = 3 vs. $\mu = 2.64$. Then, we find for the mean attrition length for small p:

$$\langle L \rangle_{sw} = \langle L \rangle_{2D} + \frac{q'}{2} \left[\langle L \rangle_{2D} + 2 \langle L \rangle_{2D}^2 - \langle L^2 \rangle_{2D} \right], \quad (11)$$

where

$$q' = \frac{p}{2} \left(1 + \frac{4}{\mu} \right). \tag{12}$$

The dependence of $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ on p given by equations (11) and (12) is shown in Figure 7 as a dotted line. As expected, this approximation to order p gives values of $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ lower than the numerical simulations when p is increased from p = 0. This is due to the fact that it neglects higher-order terms, with the contribution of walks going on two, three, ..., rewired links. This contribution can only increase the mean attrition length given in equation (11), which takes into account walks going over zero or one rewired connection.

To obtain the asymptotic behavior of the mean attrition length $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ close to p = 1, we follow a procedure analogous to that employed to calculate $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$. Here, as above, $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ is limited by the presence of loops in the network, and in particular by loops of size four remaining from the starting square lattice. This means that the highest probability for a kinetic-growth walk being blocked occurs at four-node loops, but in this case there appears another condition for a path to be stopped, since one needs a blocking node with degree k = 2 (if all four nodes in a loop have k > 2 the walker will escape, see Fig. 5b).

With these assumptions, we can calculate the (conditional) probability t' of a walk being blocked at step n+1, assuming that it actually reached step n. For large n, t' can be considered independent of n and then the distribution of attrition lengths can be expressed as $Q'(L) = t'(1-t')^L$. Finally, the mean attrition length can be approximated for $t' \ll 1$ as $\langle L \rangle_{sw} \approx 1/t'$, and using the expression for t'derived in Appendix C, we find

$$\langle L \rangle_{sw} \approx 27e^{2p}p^{-2}(1-p)^{-4}.$$
 (13)

This dependence of $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ on the rewiring probability p is displayed in Figure 7 as a dashed line. The trend predicted by equation (13) is similar to that of the results of numerical simulations in the region 0.4 , butsomewhat higher (less than a factor of 2). Close to <math>p = 1we find a divergence of $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ as $(1-p)^{-4}$, like in the case of the self-intersection length $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ (see Eq. (10)).

For small-world networks rewired from a cubic lattice, we expect for $p \to 1$ divergences of the mean selfintersection and attrition length similar to those found here for networks derived from a two-dimensional square lattice. In fact, for the cubic lattice the minimum-size loops include four nodes, and those remaining after the rewiring process will control $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ and $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ close to p = 1, which will diverge as $(1 - p)^{-4}$. Something analogous will happen for networks rewired from hypercubic lattices of dimensions higher than three.

5 Conclusions

Self-avoiding walks provide us with an adequate tool to analyze long-range characteristics of complex networks. In particular, they allow us to study the quality of a network to be explored without returning to sites already visited. Here, we have studied the self-intersection length l and attrition length L of kinetically-grown SAWs on small-world networks, rewired from a two-dimensional square lattice. With this purpose, we have considered non-reversal SAWs to obtain $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ and kinetic-growth walks to obtain $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$.

We have calculated self-intersection and attrition lengths by means of approximate probabilistic methods, which give results in good agreement with those derived from numerical simulations. For rewiring probability p =1, both the average self-intersection and attrition length diverge with the system size as $N^{1/2}$. This dependence of $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$, however, changes with the minimum degree k_0 present in the networks, and in general $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ scales as N^{α} with an exponent $\alpha = 1 - 1/k_0$ (as for random networks).

In the thermodynamic limit, both $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ and $\langle L \rangle_{sw}$ increase with p, but remain finite for p < 1. The length of kinetically-grown SAWs is limited by the presence of loops in the networks, mainly those loops remaining from the starting regular lattice. Close to p = 1, both mean lengths diverge as $(1-p)^{-4}$. The same type of divergence is expected to appear for small-world networks rewired from cubic and hypercubic lattices in higher dimensions.

This work was supported by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) under Contract No. FIS2006-12117-C04-03.

Appendix A: Mean self-intersection length for $p \rightarrow 0$

Here we calculate the mean self-intersection length for small p from the known results for p = 0 (regular lattice). To this end, let us consider a non-reversal SAW on the regular lattice, that has reached at least length n. Let us call S_n the node visited in step n. For step n + 1 on the small-world network, we will distinguish three different possibilities, depending on the nature of the link followed in this step:

- (1) The link employed in step n+1 was rewired, but keeping one end on node S_n (probability p/2).
- (2) The path follows a link that was rewired from a distant node to node S_n . Since each node is on average connected in the rewiring process to pz/2 = 2p new links, the conditional probability of following one of these links in step n + 1 is

$$p' = \frac{2p}{z - 1 + 2p},$$
 (A.1)

which gives to order $p: p' \approx 2p/(z-1)$. In this expression, z-1 is the number of available links for a step of a non-reversal walk on the regular lattice.

(3) The link followed in step n + 1 was not rewired, and remains as in the starting regular lattice. This occurs with probability 1-q, where q = p/2+p', or to order p:

$$q = p\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{z-1}\right). \tag{A.2}$$

Then, q is the probability of following a rewired link in step n + 1, assuming that in fact the walk reached step n.

Now, let us consider a non-reversal SAW of length l on the regular lattice, and assume that it intersected itself at step l+1. The same walk will still be possible on a rewired network (when no one of the links it uses was rewired) with probability $(1-q)^l$. For a given $n \leq l$, the average length of walks going on a rewired connection at step n + 1 is $n + \langle l \rangle_{2D}$, where $\langle l \rangle_{2D}$ is the mean self-intersection length in the regular lattice. This includes the assumption that a rewired link takes in general the walker to a node on the lattice far away from the starting one (which is valid in average for large N). We also assume that once a walk has employed a rewired link, it does not find any other, which is consistent with our order-p approximation for $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ (no more than one rewired link in a walk). Therefore, for walks of length l on the regular lattice, the average length $\langle l' \rangle_l$ for walks on the rewired networks is:

$$\langle l' \rangle_l = l(1-q)^l + \sum_{n=1}^l (n + \langle l \rangle_{2D})q_n,$$
 (A.3)

where the second term on the r.h.s. takes into account the contribution of walks going on a rewired link, and the first, that of walks visiting no rewired links. Here q_n is the probability of a walk going on a rewired link at step n, given by $q_n = q(1-q)^{n-1}$.

Then, to first order in q we have:

$$\langle l' \rangle_l \approx l + q \, l \left[\frac{1-l}{2} + \langle l \rangle_{2D} \right].$$
 (A.4)

Finally, we calculate the average of $\langle l' \rangle_l$ over all possible walk lengths l in the regular lattice, and find:

$$\langle l \rangle_{sw} \approx \langle l \rangle_{2D} + q \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle l \rangle_{2D} + \langle l \rangle_{2D}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle l^2 \rangle_{2D} \right].$$
 (A.5)

Appendix B: Mean self-intersection length for $\textbf{p} \rightarrow 1$

Here we present an approximate calculation for $\langle l \rangle_{sw}$ in small-world networks with p close to 1. In this limit, and for large enough N, the length of the walks is basically limited by the residual presence of loops of size four in the networks. The number of these loops remaining from the starting square lattice is given by equation (8): $N_S = N(1-p)^4$.

We then assume that the walks finish after circulating along the links of four-node loops (see Fig. 5a). Given a loop of size 4 in a small-world network, for each of its nodes there are in average two (directed) links leading to the loop from the outside. Then, the total number of links leading to nodes in these loops is

$$N_l = 8N(1-p)^4.$$
(B.1)

Since the number of directed links in the network is 4N, then the fraction of those leading to nodes in loops of length 4 is $f = 2(1-p)^4$. Note that we consider nondirected networks, but for our present purpose we have to distinguish between the two ways in which a single link can be visited in a walk.

Let us now assume that a walk has arrived at a node (say the node labeled "1" in Fig. 5a) in a four-node loop in step n-4 (n > 4). In this case we look for the conditional probability of circulating around the loop and returning to node "1" after four steps, i.e., in step n. This probability r is given by $r = 2(1/3)^4 = 2/81$, where we have used that the mean connectivity is $\langle k \rangle = 4$, and that there are two ways to walk around the considered four-node loop (clockwise and counter-clockwise, see Fig. 5a). Finally, the conditional probability t of arriving in step n at a node visited earlier (in step n - 4) is

$$t = rf = \frac{4}{81}(1-p)^4.$$
 (B.2)

Note that t defined in equation (B.2) coincides for p close to 1 with the stopping probability introduced in equation (3), and is independent of the step n (for n > 4).

Appendix C: Mean attrition length for $p \rightarrow 1$

In this appendix we calculate the probability of arriving at a node with k = 2 (labeled as "4" in Fig. 5b) in a fournode loop, after circulating along the links of the loop. We assume that node "4" has degree two, so that two of its original links in the regular lattice have been rewired away (probability p/2 for each one), and no other connection has been established with this node during the rewiring process (probability $P_r(0) = \exp(-2p)$, see Eq. (1)). Hence, the average number of nodes with k = 2 in the remaining four-node cycles is $N_v = 4N_S(p/2)^2P_r(0)$, with N_S given in equation (8). This yields

$$N_v = N(1-p)^4 p^2 e^{-2p}.$$
 (C.1)

In order for a walk to stop at node "4" (in Fig. 5b), it has to enter into the loop through nodes "1" or "3", and then circulate along the loop counter-clockwise or clockwise, respectively. Since the average number of links leading to the loop from the outside through nodes "1" or "3" is four, the fraction of links in the network leading to this kind of cycles is

$$f' = \frac{4N_v}{4N} = (1-p)^4 p^2 e^{-2p}.$$
 (C.2)

This includes the assumption that the probability of fournode cycles with more than one node with k = 2 is negligible (in fact it is much lower than that of only one node with degree 2).

If a walk has arrived at nodes "1" or "3" from the outside of the loop, then the conditional probability of circulating around the loop and arriving at node "4" (the blocking node) is $r' = (1/3)^3$, i.e. 1/3 for each of three successive steps along the loop. Thus, similarly to Section 3 and Appendix B, the conditional probability t' of

arriving in step n at a node with k = 2, after circulating around a four-node loop (in the form shown in Fig. 5b), is t' = r'f', or

$$t' = \frac{1}{27}e^{-2p}p^2(1-p)^4.$$
 (C.3)

References

- 1. S.H. Strogatz, Nature **410**, 268 (2001)
- 2. R. Albert, A.L. Barabási, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 47 (2002)
- S.N. Dorogovtsev, J.F.F. Mendes, Evolution of Networks: From Biological Nets to the Internet and WWW (Oxford University, Oxford, 2003)
- 4. M.E.J. Newman, SIAM Rev. 45, 167 (2003)
- 5. L. da F. Costa, F.A. Rodrigues, G. Travieso, P.R. Villas Boas, e-print arXiv:cond-mat/0505185
- 6. D.J. Watts, S.H. Strogatz, Nature 393, 440 (1998)
- 7. A.L. Barabási, R. Albert, Science 286, 509 (1999)
- B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998)
- D.S. Callaway, M.E.J. Newman, S.H. Strogatz, D.J. Watts, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5468 (2000)
- D.J. Watts, Small Worlds (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1999)
- R. Albert, H. Jeong, A.L. Barabási, Nature **401**, 130 (1999)
- L.F. Lago-Fernández, R. Huerta, F. Corbacho, J.A. Sigüenza, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2758 (2000)
- 13. M.E.J. Newman, J. Stat. Phys. 101, 819 (2000)
- V. Latora, M. Marchiori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 198701 (2001)
- J. Lahtinen, J. Kertész, K. Kaski, Phys. Rev. E 64, 057105 (2001)
- M. Kuperman, G. Abramson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2909 (2001)
- S.A. Pandit, R.E. Amritkar, Phys. Rev. E 63, 041104 (2001)
- 18. C. Moore, M.E.J. Newman, Phys. Rev. E 61, 5678 (2000)
- 19. M.E.J. Newman, D.J. Watts, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 7332 (1999)
- 20. A. Barrat, M. Weigt, Euro. Phys. J. B 13, 547 (2000)
- 21. M. Gitterman, J. Phys. A 33, 8373 (2000)

- 22. C.P. Herrero, Phys. Rev. E 65, 066110 (2002)
- D.J. Watts, P.S. Dodds, M.E.J. Newman, Science 296, 1302 (2002)
- R. Guimerà, A. Díaz-Guilera, F. Vega-Redondo, A. Cabrales, A. Arenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 248701 (2002)
- B.J. Kim, C.N. Yoon, S.K. Han, H. Jeong, Phys. Rev. E 65, 027103 (2002)
- 26. S. Jespersen, A. Blumen, Phys. Rev. E 62, 6270 (2000)
- 27. B. Tadić, Euro. Phys. J. B 23, 221 (2001)
- 28. J.D. Noh, H. Rieger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 118701 (2004)
- S.B. Santra, W.A. Seitz, D.J. Klein, Phys. Rev. E 63, 067101 (2001)
- 30. L.A. Adamic, R.M. Lukose, A.R. Puniyani, B.A. Huberman, Phys. Rev. E 64, 046135 (2001)
- G.F. Lima, A.S. Martinez, O. Kinouchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 010603 (2001)
- 32. P.G. de Gennes, *Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics* (Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 1979)
- 33. S.B. Lee, H. Nakanishi, Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. B 39, 9561 (1989)
- 34. K. Kremer, A. Baumgärtner, K. Binder, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 15, 2879 (1982)
- V. Privman, P.C. Hohenberg, A. Aharony, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb, J.L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, London, 1991), Vol. 14
- 36. C.P. Herrero, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7, 8897 (1995)
- 37. L. da F. Costa, Phys. Rev. E 70, 056106 (2004)
- 38. C.P. Herrero, M. Saboyá, Phys. Rev. E 68, 026106 (2003)
- 39. C.P. Herrero, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 016103 (2005)
- 40. C.P. Herrero, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38, 4349 (2005)
- 41. S. Hemmer, P.C. Hemmer, J. Chem. Phys. 81, 584 (1984)
- 42. P.C. Hemmer, S. Hemmer, Phys. Rev. A 34, 3304 (1986)
- 43. C.P. Herrero, Phys. Rev. E 66, 046126 (2002)
- M.E.J. Newman, C. Moore, D.J. Watts, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3201 (2000)
- A.D. Sokal, in Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics Simulations in Polymer Science, edited by K. Binder (Oxford University, Oxford, 1995), pp. 47–124
- 46. D.S. McKenzie, Phys. Rep. 27, 35 (1976)
- 47. D.C. Rapaport, J. Phys. A 18, 113 (1985)
- A.J. Guttmann, A.R. Conway, Ann. Combinatorics 5, 319 (2001)
- I. Majid, N. Jan, A. Coniglio, H.E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1257 (1984)